

---

# The Ten Commandments

---

*"This is the covenant that I will make with them  
after those days, saith the Lord,  
I will put my laws into their hearts,  
and in their minds will I write them"*  
- Hebrews 10:16

A.W. Pink  
1886-1952



# The Ten Commandments

## Table of Contents

Foreword .....	4
Introduction.....	5
THE FIRST COMMANDMENT .....	10
THE SECOND COMMANDMENT .....	13
THE THIRD COMMANDMENT .....	16
THE FOURTH COMMANDMENT.....	19
THE FIFTH COMMANDMENT.....	22
THE SIXTH COMMANDMENT.....	29
THE SEVENTH COMMANDMENT .....	32
THE EIGHTH COMMANDMENT .....	35
THE NINTH COMMANDMENT .....	38
THE TENTH COMMANDMENT.....	41

## Foreword

**Arthur W. Pink** (1886-1952), originally wrote this exposition of the Ten Commandments, as he did most of his published works, in his monthly magazine, *Studies in the Scriptures*. These were his “cover-page pieces,” as he called the first article in his magazines, for the year **1941**.

By God’s grace, we at Mt. Zion, have been republishing Brother Pink’s *Studies* beginning with the year 1932. If the Lord is willing, we will eventually complete the series.

Feel free to write or call us and we will add your name to our mailing list for the *Studies*. As the Lord provides, we mail three issues at a time each quarter.

## Introduction

During the past nineteen years we have written quite a number of articles on the Moral Law, nevertheless we feel constrained to write upon the subject of the Divine Decalogue. Some of our reasons for so doing are as follows: because of the great importance which God Himself attaches to the same; because we are fully persuaded that there cannot possibly be any solidly grounded hope of a genuine revival of godliness among believers and of morality among unbelievers until the Ten Commandments are again given their proper place in our affections, thoughts, and lives. Because some of our friends have requested us to do so; and because quite a number of our readers have been erroneously taught thereon—some by “Dispensationalists,” others by “Antinomians.”

There are two things which are indispensable to the Christian’s life: a clear knowledge of duty, and a conscientious practice of the same corresponding to his knowledge. As we can have no well-grounded hope of eternal salvation without obedience, so we can have no sure rule of obedience without knowledge. Although there may be knowledge without practice, yet there cannot possibly be practice of God’s will without knowledge. And therefore that we might be informed what we ought to do and what to avoid, it has pleased the Ruler and Judge of all the earth to prescribe us laws for the regulating of our actions. When we had miserably defaced the Law of nature originally written in our hearts so that many of its commandments were no longer legible, it seemed good unto the Lord to transcribe that Law in the Scriptures—and in the Ten Commandments we have a summary of the same.

Let us first consider *their promulgation*. The manner in which the Decalogue was formally delivered to Israel was very aweinspiring, yet replete with valuable instruction for us. First, the people were commanded to spend two days in preparing themselves by a typical cleansing from all external pollution, before they were ready to stand in the presence of God (Exo. 19:10, 11). This teaches us that a serious preparation of heart and mind must be made before we come to wait before God in His ordinances and receive a word at His mouth. And if Israel must sanctify themselves in order to appear before God at Sinai, how much more must *we* sanctify ourselves that we may be meet to appear before God in Heaven. Next, the mount on which God appeared was to be fenced, with a strict prohibition that none should presume to approach the holy mount (19:12, 13), teaching us that God is infinitely superior to us and due our utmost reverence and intimating the strictness of His Law.

Next we have a description of the fearful manifestation in which Jehovah appeared to deliver His Law (Exo. 19:18, 19), designed to affect them with an awe for His authority and to signify that if God were so terrible in the *giving* of the Law, much more will He be so when He comes to *judge* us for its violation. When God had delivered the Ten Words, so greatly affected were the people that they entreated Moses to act as a days-man and interpreter between God and them (20:18, 19), denoting that when the Law is delivered to us directly by God it is (in itself) the ministration of condemnation and death, but as it is delivered to us by the Mediator, Christ, we may hear and observe it: see Galatians 3:19, 1 Corinthians 9:21; Galatians 6:2. Accordingly, Moses went up into the mount and received the Law, inscribed by God's own finger upon two tables of stone, signifying that our hearts are naturally so hard that none but the finger of God can make any impression of His Law upon them. Those tables were broken by Moses in his holy zeal (Exo. 32:19), and God wrote them a second time (34:1), prefiguring the Law of Nature written on our hearts of creation, broken when we fell in Adam, rewritten in our hearts at regeneration (Heb. 10:16).

But some may ask, Has not the Law been fully abrogated by the coming of Christ into the world? Would you bring us under that heavy yoke of bondage which none has ever been able to bear? Does not the New Testament expressly declare that we are not under the Law, but under Grace? that Christ was made under the Law to free His people therefrom. Is not an attempt to over-awe men's conscience by the authority of the Decalogue a legalistic imposition, altogether at variance with that Christian liberty which the Saviour has brought in by His obedience unto death?

We answer: So far from the Law being abolished by the coming of Christ into this world, He Himself emphatically stated, "Think not that I am come to destroy the Law or the Prophets (the enforcers thereof): I am come not to destroy, but to fulfill. For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in nowise pass from the Law, till all be fulfilled" (Matt. 5:17, 18). True, the Christian is not under the Law as a Covenant of Works nor as a ministration of condemnation, but he *is under it as a rule of life and a means of sanctification*.

*Their uniqueness.* This appears first in that this revelation of God at Sinai—which was to serve for all coming ages as the grand expression of His holiness and the summation of man's duty—was attended with such aweinspiring phenomena that the very manner of their publication plainly showed that God Himself assigned to the Decalogue peculiar importance. The Ten Commandments were uttered by God in an audible voice, with the fearful adjuncts of clouds and darkness, thunder and lightning and the sound of a trumpet, and they were the only parts of Divine Revelation so spoken—none of the ceremonial or civil precepts were thus distinguished. Those Ten Words, and they alone, were written by the finger of God upon tables of stone, and they alone were deposited in the holy ark for safe keep-

ing. Thus, in the unique honour conferred upon the Decalogue itself we may perceive its paramount importance in the Divine government.

*Their springs*, which is love. Far too little emphasis has been placed upon their Divine preface: “And God spake all these words, saying, I am the LORD thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.” Whatever of awful grandeur and solemn majesty attended the promulgation of the Law, nevertheless it had its foundation *in love*, proceeding from God in the character of their gracious Redeemer as well as their righteous Lord, which of course embodied the allimportant principle that redemption carries in its bosom a conformity to the Divine order. We must then recognize this relation of the Decalogue, as well in those who received it as in Him who gave it, to the grand principle of love, for only thus could there be a conformity between a redeeming God and a redeemed people. The words at the close of the Second Commandment, “showing mercy unto thousands of them *that love Me* and keep My commandments,” make it crystal clear that the only obedience which God accepts is that which proceeds from an affectionate heart. The Saviour declared that the requirements of the Law were all summed up in loving God with all our hearts and loving our neighbours as ourselves.

*Their perpetuity*. That the Decalogue is binding upon every man in each succeeding generation is evident from many considerations. First, as the necessary and unchanging expression of God’s rectitude, its authority over all moral agents become inevitable: the character of God Himself must change before the Law (the rule of His government) could be revoked. It was the Law given to man at his creation, from which his subsequent apostasy could not relieve him. The Moral Law is founded on relations which subsist wherever there are creatures endowed with reason and volition. Second, Christ Himself rendered unto the Law a perfect obedience, thereby leaving us an example that we should follow His steps. Third, the Apostle to the Gentiles specifically raised the question, “Do we then make void the Law through faith?” and answered, “God forbid: yea, we establish the Law” (Rom. 3:31). Finally, the perpetuity of the Law appears in God’s writing it in the hearts of His people at their new birth.

Having looked at the promulgation, the uniqueness, the springs, and the perpetuity of the Moral Law, we pass on to say a word upon *the number* of its commandments, ten being indicative of their completeness. This is emphasized in Scripture by their being expressly designated “the Ten Words” (Exo. 34:28 margin), which intimates that they formed by themselves an entire whole made up of the necessary, and no more than the necessary, complement of its parts. It was on account of this symbolic import of the number that the plagues upon Egypt were precisely that many—forming as such a complete round of Divine judgments; and it was for the same reason that the transgressions of the Hebrews in the wilderness were allowed to proceed till the same number had been reached. When they had

“sinned these ten times” (Num. 14:22) they had “filled up the measure of their iniquities.” Hence, too, the consecration of the tithes or tenths: the whole increase was represented by ten, and one of these was set apart for the Lord in token of all being derived from Him and held for Him.

*Their division.* As God never acts without good reason we may be sure He had some particular design in writing the Law upon two tables. This design is evident on the surface, for the very substance of these precepts, which comprehends the sum of righteousness, separates them into two distinct groups, the first respecting our obligations Godwards, and the second our obligations man-wards—the former treating of what belongs peculiarly to the worship of God, the latter of the duties of charity in our social relations. Utterly worthless is that righteousness which abstains from acts of violence against our fellows while we withhold from the Majesty of Heaven the glory which is His due. Equally vain is it to pretend to be worshippers of God if we refuse those offices of love which are due unto our neighbours. Abstaining from fornication is more than neutralized if I blasphemously take the Lord’s name in vain, while the most punctilious Worship is rejected by Him while I steal or lie.

Nor do the duties of Divine worship fill up the first table because they are, as Calvin terms them, “the head of religion,” but as he rightly adds they are, “the very soul of it, constituting all its life and vigour,” for without the fear of God men preserve no equity and love among themselves. If the principle of piety be lacking, whatever justice, mercy, and temperance men may practice among themselves, it is vain in the sight of God. But if God is accorded His rightful place in our hearts and lives, venerating Him as the Arbiter of right and wrong, this will constrain us to deal equitably with our fellows. Opinion has varied as to how the Ten Words were divided, as to whether the Fifth ended the first table or began the second. Personally we incline decidedly to the former, because parents stand to us in the place of God while we are young, because in Scripture parents are never regarded as “neighbours”—on an equality—and because each of the first Five Commandments contain the phrase “the Lord thy God,” which is not found in any of the remaining Five.

*Their spirituality.* “The Law is spiritual” (Rom. 7:14), not only because it proceeds from a spiritual Legislator, but because it demands something more than the mere obedience of external conduct, namely, the internal obedience of the heart to its uttermost extent. It is only as we perceive the Decalogue extends to thoughts and desires of the heart that we discover how much there is in ourselves in direct opposition to it. God requires Truth “in the inward parts” (Psa. 51:6) and prohibits the smallest deviation from holiness even in our imaginations. The fact that the Law takes cognizance of our most secret dispositions and intentions, that it demands the holy regulation of our mind, affections and will, and that it requires all our obedience to proceed from love, at once demonstrates its Divine origin. No



other law ever professed to govern the *spirit* of man, but He who searches the heart claims nothing less. This high spirituality of the Law was evidenced by Christ when He insisted that an unchaste look was adultery and that malignant anger was a breach of the Sixth Commandment.

*Their office.* The first use of the Moral Law is to reveal the only righteousness which is acceptable to God, and at the same time discover to us our unrighteousness. Sin has blinded our judgment, filled us with selflove, and wrought in us a false sense of our own sufficiency. But if we seriously compare ourselves with the high and holy demands of God's Law, we are made aware of our groundless insolence, convicted of our pollution and guilt, and become conscious of our lack of strength to do what is required of us. "Thus the Law is like a mirror in which we behold our impotence, our iniquity which proceeds from it, and the consequence of both our obnoxiousness to the curse" (Calvin). Its second use is to restrain the wicked, who though they have no concern for God's glory and no thought of pleasing Him, yet refrain from many outward acts of sin through fear of its terrible penalty. Though this commends them not to God, it is a benefit to the community in which they live. Third, the Law is the believer's rule of life, to direct him, and to keep him dependent upon Divine grace.

*Its sanctions.* Not only has the Lord brought us under infinite obligations for having redeemed us from sin's slavery, not only has He given His people such a sight and sense of His aweinspiring majesty as to beget in them a reverence for His sovereignty, but He has been pleased to provide additional inducements for us to yield to His authority, gladly perform His bidding and shrink with abhorrence from what He forbids, by subjoining promises and threatenings. "For I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquities of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate Me; and showing mercy unto thousands of them that love Me, and keep My commandments"—thus we are informed that those who perform His bidding shall not labour in vain, as rebels shall not escape with impunity.

*Their interpretation.* "Thy commandment," said the Psalmist, "is exceeding broad" (119:96). So comprehensive is the Moral Law that its authority extends to all the moral actions of our lives. The rest of the Scriptures are but a commentary on the Ten Commandments, either exciting us to obedience by arguments, alluring us by promises, restraining us from transgressions by threatenings, or spurring us to the one and withholding us from the other by examples recorded in the historical portions. Rightly understood, the precepts of the New Testament are but explications, amplifications, and applications of the Ten Commandments. It should be carefully observed that in the things expressly commanded or forbidden there is always implied more than is formally stated. But to be more specific.

First, in each Commandment the chief duty or sin is taken as representative of all the lesser duties or sins, and the overt act is taken as represen-

tative of all related affections. Whatever specific sin is named, all the sins of the same kind, with all the causes and provocations thereof are forbidden. Christ expounded the Sixth Commandment as condemning not only actual murder, but also rash anger in the heart. Second, when any vice is forbidden the contrary virtue is enjoined, and when any virtue is commanded the contrary vice is condemned. For example, in the Third, God forbids the taking of His name in vain, so by necessary consequence the hallowing of His name is commanded. And as the Eighth forbids stealing, so it requires the contrary duty—earning our living and paying for what we receive (Eph. 4:28).

## THE FIRST COMMANDMENT

*“And God spake all these words, saying, I am the LORD thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage”  
(Exo. 20:1, 2).*

This Preface to the Moral Law is to be regarded as having equal respect to all the Ten Commandments, (and not to the first one only) containing as it does the most weighty arguments to enforce our obedience to them. As it is the custom of kings and governors to prefix their names and titles before the edicts set forth by them, to obtain more attention and veneration to what they publish, so with the great God, the King of kings, being about to proclaim a Law for His subjects—that He might affect them with a deeper reverence for His authority and make them the more afraid to transgress those statutes which are enacted by so mighty a Potentate and so glorious a Majesty, blazons His august Name upon them.

What has just been pointed out above is clearly established by those aweinspiring words of Moses to Israel: “That thou mayest fear this glorious and fearful name, THE LORD THY GOD” (Deut. 28:58). “I am the LORD thy God.” The word for “LORD” is “Jehovah,” who is the Supreme, Eternal and Selfexistent One, the force of which is (as it were) spelled out for us in “which was, and is, and is to come” (Rev. 4:8). The word for “God” is “Elohim,” the plural of Eloah, for though He be one in nature yet is He three in His Persons. And this Jehovah, the Supreme Object of worship, is “thy GOD,” because in the past He was your Creator, in the present He is your Ruler, and in the future He will be your Judge. In addition, He is the “God” of His elect by covenantrelationship and therefore their Redeemer. Thus, our obedience to His Law is enforced by these considerations: His absolute authority to beget fear in us—He is “the Lord thy God,” and His benefits

and mercies to engage love—“which brought thee out of the (antitypical) house of bondage.”

“Thou shalt have no other gods before Me” (Exo. 20:3) is the First Commandment. Let us briefly consider its meaning. We note its singular number: “thou” not “ye,” addressed to each person separately, because each of us is concerned therein. “Thou shalt *have* no other gods” has the force of, you shall own, possess, seek, desire, love or worship none other. “No other gods”; they are called such not because they are so either by nature or by office (Psa. 82:6), but because the corrupt hearts of men make and esteem them such—as in “whose God is their belly” (Phil. 3:19). “Before Me,” or “My face,” the force of which is best ascertained by His word to Abraham, “Walk before *Me* and be thou perfect” or “upright” (Gen. 17:1)—conduct yourself in the realization that you are ever in My presence, that Mine eye is continually upon you. This is very searching. We are so apt to rest contented if we can but approve ourselves before men and maintain a fair show of godliness outwardly; but Jehovah searches our innermost being and we cannot conceal from Him any secret lust or hidden idol.

Let us next consider the positive duty enjoined by this First Commandment. Briefly stated, it is this: you shall choose, worship and serve Jehovah as your God, and Him only. Being who He is—your Maker and Ruler, the Sum of all excellence, the supreme Object of worship—He admits of no rival and none can vie with Him. See then the absolute reasonableness of this demand and the madness of contravening it. This Commandment requires from us a disposition and conduct suited to the relation in which we stand to the Lord as our God, as the only adequate Object of our love and the only One able to satisfy the soul. It requires that we have a love for Him stronger than all other affections, that we take Him for our highest portion, that we serve and obey Him supremely. It requires that all those services and acts of worship which we render unto the true God be made with the utmost sincerity and devotion (implied in the “before Me”) excluding negligence on the one hand and hypocrisy on the other.

In pointing out *the duties* required by this Commandment we can not do better than quote the Westminster Confession of Faith. They are “the knowing and acknowledging of God to be the only true God, and our God (1 Chron. 28:9; Deut. 26:17, etc.); and to worship and glorify Him accordingly (Psa. 95:6, 7; Matt. 4:10, etc.); by thinking (Mal. 3:16), meditating, (Psa. 63:6), remembering (Eccl. 12:1), highly esteeming (Psa. 71:19), honouring (Mal. 1:6), adoring (Isa. 45:23), choosing (Josh. 24:15), loving (Deut. 6:5), desiring (Psa. 73:25), fearing of Him (Isa. 8:13), believing Him (Exo. 14:31), trusting (Isa. 26:4), hoping (Psa. 103:7), delighting (Psa. 37:4), rejoicing in Him (Psa. 32:11), being zealous for Him (Rom. 12:11), calling upon Him, giving all praise and thanks (Phil. 4:6), and yielding all obedience and submission to Him with the whole man (Jer. 7:23), being careful in all things

to please Him (1 John 3:22), and sorrowful when in anything He is offended (Jer. 31:18; Psa. 119:136), and walking humbly with Him (Micah 6:8).”

Those duties may be summarized in these chief ones. First, the diligent and lifelong seeking after a fuller knowledge of God as He is revealed in His Word and works, for we cannot worship an unknown God. Second, the loving of God with all our faculties and strength which consists of an earnest panting after Him, and deep joy in Him, and a holy zeal for Him. Third, the fearing of God, which consists of an awe of His majesty, supreme reverence for His authority, and a desire for His glory—as the love of God is the motive-spring of obedience, so the fear of God is the great deterrent of disobedience. Fourth, the worshipping of God according to His appointments. The principal aids to which are: study of and meditation upon the Word, prayer, and putting into practice what we are taught.

“Thou shalt have no other Gods before Me”: that is, you shall not give unto anyone or anything in Heaven or earth that inward heart affiance, loving veneration, and dependence upon, which is due only to the true God; you shall not transfer to another that which belongs alone unto Him. Nor must we attempt to divide them between God and another, for no man can serve two masters. The great *sins forbidden* by this Commandment are first, willful ignorance of God and His will through despising those means by which we may acquaint ourselves with Him. Second, atheism or the denial of God. Third, idolatry or the setting up of false and fictitious gods. Fourth, disobedience and selfwill or the open defiance of God. Fifth, all inordinate and immoderate affections or the setting of our hearts and minds upon other objects.

They are idolaters and transgressors of this First Commandment who manufacture a God out of the figment of their own mind. Such are the Unitarians, who deny that there are three Persons in the Godhead. Such are Romanists, who supplicate the Saviour’s mother and affirm that the pope has power to forgive sins. Such are the vast majority of Arminians, who believe in a disappointed and defeated Deity. Such are sensual Epicureans (Phil. 3:19), for there are inward idols as well as external—“these men have set up their idols in their hearts” (Ezek. 14:3). “Covetousness which is idolatry” (Col. 3:5) and by parity of reason so are all immoderate desires. That object to which we render those desires and services which are due alone to the Lord is our “God,” whether it be self, gold, fame, pleasure or friends. What is your God? To what is your life devoted?

## THE SECOND COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image or any likeness of anything that is in Haven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate Me, and showing mercy unto thousands of them that love Me and keep My Commandments” (Exo. 20:4-6).*

Though this Second Commandment is closely related to the first, yet there is a clear distinction between them which may be expressed in a variety of ways. As the First Commandment concerns the choice of the true God as our God, so the Second tells of our actual profession of His worship; as the former fixes the Object so this fixes the mode of religious worship. As in the First Commandment Jehovah had proclaimed Himself to be the true God, so here He reveals His nature and how He is to be honoured.

“Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image...thou shalt not bow down thyself to them.” This Commandment strikes against a desire, or we should say a *disease*, which is deeply rooted in the human heart, namely, to bring in some aids to the worship of God, beyond those which He has appointed—material aids, things which can be cognized by the senses. Nor is the reason for this far to seek: God is incorporeal, invisible, and can be realized only by a *spiritual* principle, and that principle being dead in fallen man, he naturally seeks that which accords with his carnality. But how different is it with those who have been quickened by the Holy Spirit. No one who truly knows God as a living reality needs any images to aid his devotions, none who enjoy daily communion with Christ requires any pictures of Him to help him to pray and adore—he conceives of Him by faith and not by fancy.

“Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image or any likeness.” It is a manifest straining of this precept to make it condemn all statuary and paintings—it is not the ingenuity of making but the stupidity in the worshipping of them which is condemned—as is clear from the “thou shalt not bow down thyself to them,” and from the fact that God Himself shortly afterwards ordered Israel to “make two cherubim of gold of beaten work” for the mercy-seat (Exo. 25:18) and later the serpent of brass. Since God is a spiritual, invisible and omnipotent Being, to represent Him as of a material and limited form is a falsehood and an insult to His majesty. Under this most extreme corruption of mode—image worship—all erroneous modes of Divine homage are here forbidden. The legitimate worship of God must not be profaned by any superstitious rites.

This Second Commandment is but the negative way of saying, “God is Spirit: and they that worship Him must worship Him in spirit and in truth” (John 4:24). If it is asked, What are *the duties here required*? The answer is: “The receiving, observing, and keeping pure and entire all such religious worship and ordinances as God has instituted in His Word (Deut. 32:46, 47; Matt. 28:20; Acts 2:42; 1 Tim. 6:13, 14); particularly prayer and thanksgiving in the name of Christ (Phil. 4:6; Eph. 5:20); the reading, preaching and hearing of the Word (Deut. 17:18, 19; Acts 15:21; 2 Tim. 4:2, etc.); the administration and receiving of the sacraments (Matt. 28:19; 1 Cor. 11:23-30); church government and discipline (Matt. 18:15, 17; 16:19; 1 Cor. 5); the ministry and maintenance thereof (Eph. 4:11, 12, etc.); religious fasting (1 Cor. 8:5), swearing by the name of God (Deut. 6:13), and vowing unto Him (Isa. 19:21; Psa. 76:11); as also the disapproving, detesting, opposing, all false worship (Acts 16:16, 17, etc.); and according to each one’s place and calling, removing it, and all monuments of idolatry (Deut. 7:5; Isa. 30:22)”—Westminster Confession of Faith. To which We would simply add, there is required of us a diligent preparation before we enter upon any holy exercise (Eccl. 5:1) and a right disposition of mind in the act itself. For example, we must not hear or read the Word just to satisfy curiosity, but that we may learn how better to please God.

In the forbidding of images God by parity of reason prohibits all other modes and means of worship not appointed by Him. Every form of worship, even of the true God Himself, which is contrary to or diverse from what the Lord has prescribed in His Word, and which is called by the Apostle “will Worship” (Col. 2:23), together with all corruptions of the true worship of God and all inclinations of heart unto superstition in the service of God are reprehended by this Commandment. No scope whatever is here permitted the inventive faculty of man: Christ condemned the religious washing of the hands, because it was a human addition to the Divine regulations. In like manner this Commandment denounces the modern passion for ritualism (the dressing up of simplicity in Divine worship), as also the magical virtues ascribed to or even the special influences of the Lord’s Supper, still more so the use of a crucifix. So also it condemns a neglect of God’s worship, the leaving undone the service which God has commanded.

The Scriptures have set us bounds for worship, to which we must not add and from which we must not diminish. In the application of this principle we need to distinguish sharply between the substantial and the incidentals of worship. Anything which men seek to impose upon us as a part of Divine worship, if it is not expressly required of us in the Scriptures—such as bowing the knee at the name of Jesus, crossing ourselves, etc.—is to be abominated. But if certain circumstances and modifications of worship are practiced by those with whom we meet, even though there is no express Scripture for them, they are to be submitted unto by us, providing they are such things as tend to decency and order and distract not from the solem-

nity and devotion of spiritual worship. That was a wise rule inculcated by Ambrose: "If thou wilt neither give offense nor take offense, conform thyself to all the lawful customs of the churches where thou comest." It is a grievous breaking of this Commandment if we neglect any of the ordinances of worship which God has appointed. So, too, if we engage in the same hypocritically, with coldness of affection, wanderings of mind, lack of holy zeal, or in unbelief, honouring God with our lips while our hearts are far from Him.

This Commandment is enforced by three reasons. The first is drawn from the Person who denounces judgment upon those who break it: He is described by His relationship—"thy God," by the might of His power, for the Hebrew word for "God" here is "the Strong One"—able to vindicate His honour and avenge all insults thereto, by a similitude taken from the state of wedlock, wherein unfaithfulness results in summary punishment—He is a "jealous God." It is the Lord speaking after the manner of men, intimating that He will not spare those who mock Him. "They provoked Him to jealousy with strange gods, with abominations provoked they Him to anger...they have moved Me to jealousy with that which is not God" (Deut. 32:1621).

Second, a sore judgment is threatened: "visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate Me." "Visiting" is a figurative expression, which signifies that after a space of time, in which God appears to have taken no notice or to have forgotten, He then shows by His providences that He *has* observed the evil ways and doings of men, "Shall I not visit for these things? saith the Lord: and shall not My soul be avenged on such a nation as this" (Jer. 5:9, and cf. 32:18; Matt. 23:3436). This was designed to deter men from idolatry by an appeal to their natural affections. "The curse of the Lord righteously rests not only on the person of an impious man, but also on the whole of his family" (Calvin). It is a terrible thing to pass on to children a false conception of God, either by precept or by example. The penalty inflicted corresponds to the crime: it is not only that God punishes the child for the offenses committed by the parents, but that He gives them over unto the same transgressions and then deals with them accordingly, for the example of parents is not sufficient warrant for us to commit sin.

Third, there is a most blessed encouragement to obedience, in the form of a gracious promise: "Showing mercy unto thousands of them that love Me, and keep My Commandments." To the same effect He assures us, "The just man walketh in his integrity: his children are blessed after him" (Prov. 20:7). Love for God is evidenced by a keeping of His Commandments. Papists contend that their use of images is with the object of promoting love, by keeping a visible image before them as an aid; but God says it is because they *hate* Him. This promise to show mercy unto thousands of the descendants of those who truly love God does not express a universal principle, as

is clear from the cases of Isaac having a godless Esau and David an Absalom. “The Legislator never intended to establish in this case such an invariable rule as would derogate from His own free choice...When the Lord exhibits one example of this blessing, He affords a proof of His constant and perpetual favour to His worshippers” (Calvin). Observe that here, as elsewhere in Scripture (Jude 14, for example), God speaks of “thousands” (*and not* “millions,” as men so often do) of them that love Him and who manifest the genuineness of their love by keeping His Commandments. His flock is but a “little” one (Luke 12:32). What cause for thanksgiving unto God have those born of pious parents, who treasure up not wrath for them, but prayers!

## THE THIRD COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God in vain: for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh His name in vain” (Exo. 20:7).*

As the Second Commandment concerns the manner in which God is to be worshipped (namely, according to His revealed will), so this one bids us worship Him with that frame of spirit which is agreeable to the dignity and solemnity of such an exercise and the majesty of Him with whom we have to do: that is, with the utmost sincerity, humility and reverence. “*Fear* this glorious and fearful name, THE LORD THY GOD” (Deut. 28:58). O what high thoughts we ought to entertain of such a Being! In what holy awe should we stand before Him! “The end of this Precept is that the Lord will have the majesty of His name to be held inviolably sacred by us. Whatever we think and whatever we say of Him should savour of His excellency, correspond to the sacred sublimity of His name and tend to the exaltation of His magnificence” (Calvin). Anything pertaining to God should be spoken of with the greatest sobriety.

Let us first endeavour to point out *the scope* and comprehensiveness of this Commandment. By the Name of the Lord our God is signified God Himself as He is made known to us, including everything through which He has been pleased to reveal Himself: His Word, His titles, His attributes, His ordinances, His works. The Name of God stands for His very nature and being, as in Psalm 20:1; 135:3; John 1:12, etc. Sometimes the Name of God is taken for the entire system of Divine Truth: “we will walk in the Name of the Lord our God” (Micah 4:5)—in that way of Truth and worship which He has appointed. “I have manifested Thy Name unto the men Thou gavest Me” (John 17:6)—instructed them in the heavenly doctrine. But usually, and more specifically, the Name of God refers to that by which He is called and



made known to us. To “*take His Name*” means to employ or make use of the same, as the Object of our thoughts or the Subject of our speech. Not to take His Name “in vain” is the negative way of saying it must be held in the utmost awe and used holily in thought and word and deed.

It will thus be seen that this Commandment requires us to make mention of the Name of God. Since He has given us so many and gracious discoveries of Himself, it would evince the vilest contempt of the greatest of privileges if we expressed no regard to those discoveries and made no use of the same. Those who make no religious profession and desire not to be instructed in those things which relate to the Divine glory are guilty of slighting the Most High. We make use of God’s Name in public worship, in private prayer, and when taking religious oaths or making solemn vows. When we draw near to God in prayer we should adore the Divine perfections with a becoming humility, as did Abraham (Gen. 18:27), Jacob (Gen. 32:10), Moses (Exo. 15:11), Solomon (1 Kings 8:33), Hezekiah (2 Kings 19:15), Daniel (9:4), and the inhabitants of Heaven (Rev. 4:10, 11). Negatively, this Commandment prohibits all dishonouring thoughts of God, all needless, flippant, profane or blasphemous mention of Him, any irreverent use of His Word, any murmurings against His Providence, any abuse of anything by which He has made Himself known.

Let us now point out more specifically some of the ways in which God’s Name may be taken in vain. First, when it is used without propounding to ourselves a due end. And there are but two ends which can warrant our use of any of His Names, titles or attributes: His glory and the edification of ourselves and others. Whatsoever is besides these is frivolous and evil, affording no sufficient ground for us to make mention of such a great and holy Name, which is so full of glory and majesty. Unless our speech be designedly directed to the advancement of the Divine glory or the promotion of the benefit of those to whom we speak, we are not justified in having God’s ineffable Name upon our lips. He accounts Himself highly insulted when we mention His name to idle purpose.

God’s Name is taken in vain by us when we use it without due consideration and reverence. Whensoever we make mention of Him before whom the seraphim veil their faces, we ought seriously and solemnly to ponder His infinite majesty and glory, and bow our hearts in deepest prostration before that Name. They who think and speak of the great God promiscuously and at random—how can they use His Name with reverence when all the rest of their discourse is filled with froth and vanity? That Name is not to be sported with and tossed to and fro upon every light tongue. O my reader, form the habit of solemnly considering *whose* Name it is you are about to utter—that it is the Name of Him who is present with you, hearing you pronounce it, who is jealous of His honour, and who will dreadfully avenge Himself upon those who slight Him.

God's Name is used in vain when it is employed *hypocritically*—when we profess to be His people and are not. Israel of old was guilty of this sin: “Hear ye this, O house of Jacob, which are called by the name of Israel, and are come forth out of the waters of Judah, which swear by the name of the LORD, and make mention of the God of Israel, *but not in truth*, nor in righteousness” (Isa. 48:1)—they used the Name of God, but did not obey the revelation contained therein, and so violated this Third Commandment: compare Matthew 7:22, 23.

When using the Name of God, we must do so in a way which is true to its meaning and to its implications, otherwise He says to us, “Why call ye Me, Lord, Lord, *and do not* the things which I say?” (Luke 6:46). In like manner, we are guilty of this awful sin when we perform holy duties lightly and mechanically, our affections not being in them. Prayer without *practice* is blasphemy, and to speak to God with our lips while our hearts are far from Him is but a mocking of Him and an increasing of our condemnation.

God's Name is taken in vain when we swear lightly and irreverently, using the Name of God with as little respect as we would show to that of a man, or when we swear falsely and are guilty of perjury. When we are placed on oath and we attest that to be true which we do not know to be true, or which we know to be false, we are guilty of one of the gravest sins which man can possibly commit, for he has solemnly called upon the great God to witness that which the father of lies has prompted him to speak. “He that sweareth in the earth shall swear by *the God of Truth*” (Isa. 65:16), and therefore it behooves him to consider well whether what he deposes is true or not. Alas, oaths have become so excessively multiplied among us—being interwoven, as it were, into the body politic—and so generally disregarded, that the enormity of this offense is scarcely considered. “Let none of you imagine evil in your hearts; against his neighbour; and love no *false oaths*, for all these are things that I *hate*, saith the LORD” (Zech. 8:17).

And what shall be said of that vast throng of profane swearers who pollute our language and wound our ears by a vile mixture of execrations and blasphemies in their common conversation? “Their throat is an open sepulchre...the poison of asps is under their lips: whose mouth is full of cursing and bitterness” (Rom. 3:13, 14). Utterly vain is their thoughtless plea that they mean no harm: vain their excuse that all their companions do the same: vain their plea that it is merely to relieve their feelings—what a madness is it when men anger you, to strike against *God* and provoke *Him* far more than others can provoke you! But though their fellows do not censure, the police arrest, or the magistrate punish them (as the law of our land requires), yet “*The LORD* will not hold him guiltless that taketh His Name in vain.” “As he loved cursing, so let it come unto him...as he clothed himself with cursing like as with his garment, so let it come into his bowels like water” (Psa. 109:17, 18). God is dreadfully incensed by this sin, and in

the common commission of this Heaven-insulting crime our country has incurred terrible guilt.

It has become almost impossible to walk the streets or enter mixed company without hearing the sacred Name of God treated with blasphemous contempt. The novels of the day, the stage, and even the wireless, are terrible offenders, and without doubt this is one of the fearful sins against Himself for which God is now pouring out His judgments upon us. Of old He said unto Israel, "*Because of swearing (cursing) the land mourneth; the pleasant places of the wilderness are dried up, and their course is evil*" (Jer. 23:10). And He is still the same: "The Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh His Name in vain." Sore punishment shall be his portion, if not in this life, then most assuredly so, eternally so, in the life to come.

## THE FOURTH COMMANDMENT

*"Remember the Sabbath Day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work; but the seventh day is the Sabbath of the LORD thy God; in it thou shalt not do any work" (Exo. 20:810).*

This Commandment denotes that God is the sovereign Lord *of our time*, which is to be used and improved by us according as He has here specified. It is to be carefully noted that it consists of *two* parts, each of which bears directly upon the other. "Six days *shalt thou* (not "mayest thou") labour" is as Divinely binding upon us as "Remember the Sabbath Day to keep it holy." It is a precept requiring us diligently to attend unto that vocation and state of life in which the Divine Providence has placed us, to perform its offices with care and conscience. The revealed will of God is that man should work, not idle away his time; that he should work not five days a week (for which "organized labour" has agitated), but six.

He who never works is unfit for worship. Work is to pave the way for worship, as worship is to fit us for work. The fact that any man can escape the observance of this first half of the Commandment is a sad reflection upon our modern social order, and shows how far we have departed from the Divine plan and ideal. The more diligent and faithful we are in performing the duties of the six days, the more shall we value the *rest* of the seventh. It will thus be seen that the appointing of the Sabbath was not any arbitrary restriction upon man's *freedom*, but a merciful provision for his good: that it is designed as a day of gladness and not of gloom. It is the Creator's gracious exempting us from our life of mundane toil one day in seven, granting us a foretaste of that future and better life for which the present is but a probation, when we may turn wholly from that which is

material to that which is spiritual, and thereby be equipped for taking hold with new consecration and renewed energies upon the work of the coming days.

It should thus be quite evident that this law for the regulation of man's time was not a temporary one designed for any particular dispensation, but is continuous and perpetual in the purpose of God: the Sabbath was "made for *man*" (Mark 2:27), and not simply for the Jew; made for man's good. What has been pointed out above upon the twofoldness of this Divine statute receives clear and irrefutable confirmation in the reason given for its enforcement: "for in six days the Lord made Heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day" (Exo. 20:11). Observe well the *twofoldness* of this: the august Creator deigned to set an example before His creatures in each respect—HE worked for "six days," HE "rested the seventh day!" It should also be pointed out that the appointing of work for man is not the consequence of sin: *before* the Fall, God put him "into the garden of Eden to *dress* and to keep it" (Gen. 2:15).

The lasting nature or perpetuity of this twofold Commandment is further evidenced by the fact that in the above reason given for its enforcement there was nothing in it which was peculiarly pertinent to the nation of Israel, but instead, that which speaks with clarion voice to the whole human race. Moreover, this statute was given a place not in the ceremonial law of Israel, which was to be done away when Christ fulfilled its types, but in the Moral Law, which was written by the finger of God Himself upon tables of stone, to signify to us its lasting nature. Finally, it should be pointed out the very terms of this Commandment make it unmistakably plain that it was not designed only for the Jews, for it was equally binding upon any Gentiles who dwelt among them: even though they were not in covenant with God, nor under the ceremonial law, yet they were required to keep the Sabbath holy—"thou shalt not do any work...*nor thy stranger* that is within thy gates" (Exo. 20:10)!

"The seventh day is the Sabbath of the LORD thy God." Note well it is *not* said (here, or anywhere in Scripture) "the seventh day *of the week*," but simply "the seventh day," that is, the day following the six of work. With the Jews it was the seventh day of the week, namely, Saturday, but for us it is—as the "another day" of Hebrews 4:8 plainly intimates—the *first day* of the week, because the Sabbath not only commemorates the work of creation, but it *now* also celebrates the yet greater work of redemption. Thus, the Lord so worded the Fourth Commandment as to suit *both* the Jewish and the Christian dispensations, and thereby intimated its perpetuity. The Christian Sabbath is from midnight Saturday to midnight Sunday: it is clear from John 20:1 that it began *before* sunrise, and therefore we may conclude it starts at Saturday midnight; while from John 20:19 we learn (from the fact it is not there called "the evening of the second day") that it

continues throughout the evening, and that our worship is also to continue therein.

But though the Christian Sabbath does not commence till midnight on Saturday, yet our *preparation for it* must begin sooner, or how else can we obey its express requirement, “in it thou shalt not do any work?” On the Sabbath there is to be a complete resting the whole day, not only from natural recreations and doing our own pleasure (Isa. 58:13), but from all worldly employment, which includes such things as writing business or social letters, the reading of newspapers or secular literature, polishing our shoes, shaving, and preparing and cooking of food (Exo. 16:23)—for the wife needs a day of rest just as much as her husband, yea, being the “weaker vessel,” more so. Such things as porridge and soup can be prepared on the Saturday and heated on the Sabbath, and this, that we may be entirely free to delight ourselves in the Lord and give ourselves completely to His worship and service. Let us also see to it that we do not work or sit up so late on the Saturday night that we encroach on the Lord’s Day by staying late in bed or making ourselves drowsy for its holy duties.

This Commandment makes it clear that God is to be worshipped *in the home*, which, of course, inculcates the practice of family worship. It is addressed more specifically than any of the other nine Commandments to the heads of households and employers, because God requires them to see to it that all under their charge shall observe the Sabbath. To them, more immediately, God says, “Remember the Sabbath day to keep it holy.” It is to be strictly set apart to the honour of the thrice holy God, spent in the exercises of holy contemplation, meditation and adoration. Because it is the day which He has made (Psa. 118:24), we must do nothing to unmake it. This Commandment forbids the omission of any duties required, a careless performing of the same, or a weariness in them. The more faithfully we keep *this* Commandment, the better prepared shall we be to obey the other nine.

Three classes of works, and three only, may be engaged in on the Holy Sabbath. Works of *necessity*, which are those that could not be done on the preceding day and that cannot be deferred till the next—such as tending to cattle. Works of *mercy*, which are those that compassion require us to perform unto other creatures—such as ministering to the sick. Works of *piety*, which are the worship of God in public and in private, using with thankfulness and delight all the means of grace which He has provided. We need to watch and strive against the very first suggestions of Satan to corrupt our hearts, divert our minds, or disturb us in holy duties; praying earnestly for help to meditate upon God’s Word and to retain what He gives us. The Lord makes the sacred observance of His Day of special blessing; and contrariwise, He visits the profanation of the Sabbath with special cursing (see Neh. 13:17, 18), as our guilty land is now proving to its bitter cost.

*“A Sabbath well spent, brings a week of content  
And strength for the toils of the morrow;  
But a Sabbath profaned, whate’er may be gained  
Is a certain forerunner of sorrow.”*

## THE FIFTH COMMANDMENT

This Commandment to honour parents is much broader in its scope than appears at first glance. It is not to be restricted to our literal “father” and “mother,” but is to be understood of all our superiors. “The end of the Precept is that since the Lord God desires the preservation of the order He has appointed, the degrees of preeminence fixed by Him ought to be inviolably preserved. The sum of it therefore will be that we should reverence them whom God has exalted to any authority over us, and should render them honour, obedience, and gratitude...But as this precept is exceedingly repugnant to the depravity of human nature, whose ardent desire of exaltation will scarcely admit of subjection, it has therefore proposed as an example that kind of superiority which is naturally most amiable and least invidious, because that might the more easily mollify and incline our minds to a habit of submission” (Calvin).

Lest any of our readers—in this socialistic and communistic age, when insubordination and lawlessness is the evil spirit of our day—demur against this wider interpretation of the Commandment, let it be pointed out first, that since “honour” belongs primarily and principally to God, that secondarily and derivatively it pertains also unto those whom He has dignified and made nobles in His kingdom, by raising them above others and bestowing titles and dominion upon them. Therefore they are to be revered by us as our fathers and mothers. In Scripture the word “honour” has an extensive application, as may be seen from 1 Timothy 5:17; 1 Peter 2:17, etc. Second, observe that the title “father” is given to kings (1 Sam. 24:11; Isa. 49:23), masters, (2 Kings 5:13), ministers of the Gospel (2 Kings 2:12; Gal. 4:19).

“Wherefore it ought not to be doubted that God here lays down a universal rule for our conduct: namely, that to everyone whom we know to be placed in authority over us by His appointment, we should render reverence, obedience, gratitude, and all the other services in our power. Nor does it make any difference whether they are worthy of this honour or not. For whatever be their characters, it is not without the appointment of the Divine providence that they have attained that station, on account of which the supreme Legislator has commanded them to be honoured. He has par-

ticularly enjoined reverence to our parents, who have brought us into this life” (Calvin). It scarcely needs to be said that the duty enforced here is of a reciprocal nature: those of inferiors implying a corresponding obligation on superiors; but limited space obliges us to consider here only the duties resting on subjects to their rulers.

First, *children to their parents*. They are to love and reverence them, fearful of offending out of the respect they bear them. A genuine filial veneration is to actuate children so that they abstain from whatever would grieve or offend their parents. They are to be subject unto them: mark the blessed example which Christ has left (Luke 2:51). “Children obey your parents in all things, for this is well-pleasing unto the Lord” (Col. 3:20): after David was anointed for the throne, he fulfilled his father’s appointment by tending his sheep (1 Sam. 16:19). They are to hearken to their instructions and imitate their godly practices: Proverbs 6:20. Their language must always be respectful and their gestures betoken submission: though Joseph was so highly exalted in Egypt, he “bowed himself with his face to the ground” before his father (Gen. 48:12); and note how king Solomon honoured his mother (1 Kings 2:19). As far as they are able and their parents have need, they are to provide for them in old age (1 Tim. 5:16).

*Our duties to rulers* and magistrates whom God has set over us. These are God’s deputies and vicegerents, being invested with authority from Him: “by Me kings reign” (Prov. 8:15). God has ordained magistracy for the general good of mankind, for were it not for this, men would be savage beasts preying upon one another. Does not the fear of magistrates restrain those who have cast off the fear of God? Are they not afraid of temporal punishments? We should be as safe among lions and tigers as among men. They are to be honoured in our thoughts, regarding them as the official images of God upon earth (Eccl. 10:20). They are to be revered in our speech, supporting their office and authority: of the wicked it is written, “they are not afraid to speak evil of dignities” (2 Peter 2:10). We are to obey them: “Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord’s sake: whether it be to the king, as supreme; or unto governors, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evildoers, and for the praise of them that do well” (1 Peter 2:13, 14). We are to render “tribute to whom tribute is due, custom to whom custom, fear to whom fear” (Rom. 13:7). We are to pray for them: 1 Timothy 2:1, 2.

The duties of *servants unto their masters*. They are to obey them: “Servants obey in all things your masters according to the flesh: not with eyeservice as menpleasers, but in singleness of heart fearing God” (Col. 3:22). They are to be diligent in duty, seeking to promote their master’s interests: showing all good fidelity” (Titus 2:10 and see Eph. 6:57). They are to patiently suffer their rebukes and corrections: “not answering again” (Titus 2:9). So strictly has God enjoined them to a quiet submission unto their masters that even when a servant has given no just cause for rebuke,

he is to silently suffer the groundless anger of his master: “Servants be subject to your masters with all fear: not only to the good and gentle, but also to the froward. For this is thankworthy, if a man for conscience toward God endure grief, suffering wrongfully” (1 Peter 2:18-19). O how far have we wandered from the Divine standard!

Finally, we should mention *pastors and their flocks*, ministers and their people, for between them also is such a relation of superiors and inferiors as brings them under the direction of this Fifth Commandment. “Obey them that have the rule over you, and submit yourselves: for they watch for your souls, as they that must give account, that they may do it with joy, and not with grief: for that is unprofitable for you” (Heb. 13:17). Christ has so vested His servants with authority that He declares “He that heareth you heareth Me; and he that despiseth you despiseth Me” (Luke 10:16). So again, “Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the Word and doctrine” (1 Tim. 5:17): this “double honour” is that of reverence and maintenance—“Let him that is taught in the Word communicate unto him that teacheth in all good things” (Gal 6:6 and cf. 1 Cor. 9:11). How solemn is the warning of, “But they mocked the messengers of God and despised His words and misused His Prophets, until the wrath of the LORD arose against His people, till there was no remedy” (2 Chron. 36:16).

To this precept is added the promise as a motive and encouragement to obedience: “That thy days may be long upon the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee.” First, as an Old Testament promise this is to be regarded typically of the Eternal Life promised by the Gospel—as Canaan was a figure of Heaven. Second, as it is repeated in the New Testament (Eph. 6:2, 3 and cf. 1 Peter 3:10) it is often God’s way to lengthen out an obedient and holy life. Third, but all promises of earthly blessing must necessarily imply this condition: they shall be literally fulfilled unto us if this would promote our eternal happiness—otherwise they would be threatenings and not promises. In His mercy God often abridges this promise and takes His beloved unto Himself.

### ***A WORD TO PARENTS***

Under this Fifth Commandment are included the responsibilities of parents toward their children and masters toward their servants, as well as those of children and servants unto their superiors. This is quite clear from the opening verses of Ephesians 6 (which contain an exposition of the Fifth Commandment), where the Apostle begins with the duties of children toward their parents and then immediately follows with the duties of parents toward their children, and after instructing servants he at once proceeded to instruct their masters. We shall here confine ourselves to the former.



One of the saddest and most tragic features of our twentieth-century “Civilization” is the awful prevalence of disobedience on the part of children to their parents during the days of childhood—and their lack of reverence and respect when they grow up. This is evidenced in many ways, and is general, alas, even in the families of professing Christians. In his extensive travels during the past thirty years the writer has sojourned in a great many homes: the piety and beauty of some of them remain sacred and fragrant memories: but others of them have left the most painful impressions. Children who are selfwilled or spoilt not only bring themselves into perpetual unhappiness, but inflict discomfort upon all who come into contract with them, and auger evil things for the days to come.

In the vast majority of cases the children are not nearly so much to be blamed as the parents. Failure to honour father and mother, wherever it is found, is in large measure due to the parental departure from the Scriptural pattern. Nowadays the father considers he has fulfilled his obligations by providing food and raiment for his children, and by acting occasionally as a species of moral policeman. Too often the mother is content to be a domestic drudge, making herself the slave of her children instead of training them to be useful, performing many a task which her daughters should do, in order to allow them freedom for the frivolities of a giddy set. The consequence has been that the home which ought to be—for its orderliness, its sanctity, and its reign of love—a miniature Heaven on earth, has degenerated into “a filling station for the day and a parking place for the night” as someone has tersely expressed it.

Before outlining the duties of parents toward their children, let it be pointed out that they cannot properly discipline their children unless they have first learned to *govern themselves*. How can they expect to subdue self-will in their little ones and check the rise of an angry temper if their own passions are allowed free rein? The character of parents is to a very large degree reproduced in their offspring: “And Adam lived a hundred and thirty years and begat a son in his own likeness after his image” (Gen. 5:3). The parent must himself or herself be in subjection to God if they may lawfully expect obedience from their little ones. This principle is enforced in Scripture again and again: “thou therefore which teachest another, teachest thou not thyself?” (Rom. 2:21). Of the bishop or pastor it is written that he must be, “One that ruleth well his own house, having his children in subjection with all gravity. For if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God” (1 Tim. 3:4, 5). And if a man or woman know not how to rule their own spirit (Prov. 25:28), how shall they care for their offspring?

God has entrusted to parents a most solemn charge and yet a most precious privilege. It is not too much to say that in *their* hands are deposited the hope and blessing, or else the curse and plague, of the next generation. Their families are the nurseries of both Church and State, and according to

the cultivating of them now such will be their fruitfulness hereafter. O how prayerfully and carefully should they discharge their trust! Most assuredly God will require an account of the children from their parents' hands, for they are His, and only lent to your care and keeping. The task assigned you is no easy one, especially in these superlatively evil days. Nevertheless, if trustfully and earnestly sought, the grace of God will be found sufficient here as elsewhere. The Scriptures supply us with rules to go by, with promises to lay hold of, and we may add, with fearful warnings lest you treat the matter lightly.

We have space to mention but four of the principal duties devolving on parents. First, *to instruct* their children. "And these words which I command thee this day, shall be in thine heart: and thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up" (Deut. 6:6, 7). This work is far too important to allocate unto others: *parents*, and not Sunday School teachers, are Divinely required to educate their little ones. Nor is this to be an occasional or sporadic thing, but one that is to have constant attention. The glorious character of God, the requirements of His holy Law, the exceeding sinfulness of sin, the wondrous gift of His Son, and the fearful doom which is the certain portion of all who despise and reject Him, are to be brought repeatedly before the minds of the little ones. "They are too young to understand such things" is the Devil's argument to deter you from discharging your duty.

"And ye fathers, provoke not your children to wrath: but bring them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord" (Eph. 6:4). It is to be noted that the "fathers" are here specifically addressed, and this for two reasons: because they are the *head* of the family and its government is especially committed to them, and because they are prone to transfer this duty unto their wives. This instruction is to be given by reading to them the Holy Scriptures and enlarging upon those things most agreeable to their age. This should be followed by catechising them. A continued discourse to the young is not nearly so effective as when it is diversified by questions and answers. If they know they will be questioned on what you read, they will listen more closely: the formulating of answers teaches them to think for themselves. Such a method is also found to make the memory more retentive, for answering definite questions fixes more specific ideas in the mind. Observe how often Christ asked His disciples questions.

Second, good instruction is to be accompanied by good example. That teaching which issues only from the lips is not at all likely to sink any deeper than the ears. Children are particularly quick to detect inconsistencies, and despise hypocrisy. It is at this point parents need to be most on their faces before God, daily seeking from Him that grace which they so sorely need and which He alone can supply. What care they need to take lest they say or do anything before their children which would tend to corrupt

their minds or be of evil consequence for them to follow. How they need to be constantly on their guard against anything which might render them mean and contemptible in the eyes of those who should respect and revere them! The parent is not only to instruct his children in the ways of holiness, but is himself to walk before them in those ways, and show by his practice and demeanour what a pleasant and profitable thing it is to be regulated by the Divine Law.

In a Christian home the supreme aim should be *household piety*—the honouring of God at all times—everything else being subordinated thereto. In the matter of family life neither husband nor wife can throw on the other all the responsibility for the religious character of the home. The mother is most certainly required to supplement the efforts of the father, for the children enjoy far more of her company than they do of his. If there is a tendency in fathers to be too strict and severe, mothers are prone to be too lax and lenient, and they need to be much on their guard against anything which would weaken their husbands' authority—when he has forbidden a thing, she must not give her consent to it. It is striking to note that the exhortation of Ephesians 6:4 is preceded by, “be filled with the Spirit” (5:18), while the parallel exhortation in Colossians 3:21 is preceded by “let the Word of Christ dwell in you richly” (v. 16), showing that parents cannot possibly discharge their duties unless they are filled with the Spirit and the Word.

Third, instruction and example is to be enforced by *correction and discipline*. This means, first of all, the exercise of authority—the proper reign of law. Of the father of the faithful, God said, “For I know him, that he will command his children and his household after him, and they shall keep the way of the LORD, to do justice and judgment; that the LORD may bring upon Abraham that which He hath spoken of him” (Gen. 18:19). Ponder this carefully, Christian fathers. Abraham did more than proffer good advice: he enforced law and order in his household. The rules he administered had for their design the keeping of “the way of the Lord”—that which was right in His sight. And this duty was performed by the Patriarch in order that the blessing of God might rest on his family. No family can be properly brought up without household laws, which include reward and punishment, and these are especially important in early childhood, when as yet moral character is unformed and moral motives are not understood or appreciated.

Rules should be simple, clear, reasonable and inflexible like the Ten Commandments—a few great moral rules, instead of a multitude of petty restrictions. One way of needlessly provoking children to wrath is to hamper them with a thousand trifling restrictions and minute regulations that are capricious and are due to a fastidious temper in the parent. It is of vital importance for the child's future good that he or she should be brought into subjection at an early age: an untrained child means a lawless adult—

our prisons are crowded with those who were allowed to have their own way during their minority. The least offense of a child against the rules of the home ought not to pass without due correction, for if he find leniency in one direction or toward one offense, he will expect the same toward others, and then disobedience will become more frequent till the parent has no control save that of brute force.

The teaching of Scripture is crystal clear on this point. "Foolishness is bound in the heart of a child; but the rod of correction shall drive it far from him" (Prov. 22:15 and cf. 23:13, 14). Therefore has God said, "He that spareth the rod hateth his son: but he that loveth him chasteneth him be-times" (Prov. 13:24). And again, "Chasten thy son while there is yet hope, and let not thy soul spare for his crying" (Prov. 19:18). Let not a foolish fondness stay you: certainly God loves His children with a much deeper parental affection than you can love yours, yet he tells us, "As many as I love, I rebuke and chasten" (Rev. 3:19 and cf. Heb. 12:6). "The rod and reproof give wisdom: but a child left to himself bringeth his mother to shame" (Prov. 29:15). Such severity must be used in their early years, before age and obstinacy have hardened the child against the fear and smart of correction. Spare the rod, and you spoil the child: use it not on him, and you lay up one for your own back.

It should hardly need pointing out that the above Scriptures are far from inculcating that a reign of terror is to mark the home life. Children can be governed and punished in such a way that they do not lose their respect and affection to their parents. Beware of souring their temper by unreasonable demands, or provoking their wrath by smiting them to vent your own rage. The parent is to punish a disobedient child not because he is angry, but because it is *right*—because God requires it, and the welfare of the child demands it. Never make a threat which you have no intention of executing, nor a promise you do not mean to perform. Remember that for your children to be well informed is good, but for them to be well controlled is better.

Pay close attention to the unconscious influences of a child's surroundings. Study to make your home attractive: not by introducing carnal and worldly things, but by noble ideals, by inculcating a spirit of unselfishness, by genial and happy fellowship. Separate the little ones from evil associates. Watch carefully the periodicals and books which come into the home, the occasional guests which sit at the table, and the companionships your children form. Parents carelessly let people have free access to their children who undermine their authority, overturn their ideals, and sow seeds of frivolity and iniquity before they are aware. Never let your child spend a night among strangers. So train your girls that they will be useful and helpful members of their generation, and your boys that they will be industrious and self-supporting.

Fourth, the last and most important duty, respecting both the temporal and spiritual good of your children, *is fervent supplication to God* for them, for without this all the rest will be ineffectual. Means are unavailing unless the Lord blesses them. The Throne of Grace is to be earnestly implored so that your efforts to bring up your children for God may be crowned with success. True, there must be a humble submission to His sovereign will, a bowing before the truth of Election. On the other hand, it is the privilege of faith to lay hold of the Divine promises and to remember that the effectual fervent prayers of a righteous man availeth much. Of holy Job it is recorded concerning his sons and daughters that he “rose up early in the morning and offered burnt offerings according to the number of them all” (1:5). A prayerful atmosphere should pervade the home and be breathed by all who share it.

## THE SIXTH COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not kill” (Exo. 20:13).*

In the first five Commandments we have seen how God safeguarded His own glory; in the second five we are to behold how He provides for the security and wellbeing of men. First, for the protection of man’s person; second, for the sanctity and good of his family: “thou shalt not commit adultery”; third, for the safety of his estate and substance: “thou shalt not steal”; fourth, for his reputation or good name: “thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour.” Finally, as a strong fence about the whole Law, God not only prohibits outward crimes, but inward motions of evil in our thoughts and affections: “thou shalt not covet.” It is the first of these regulations which specially relates to our neighbour that we shall now consider: “thou shalt not kill.”

This Sixth Commandment prohibits that barbarous and inhuman sin of murder, which is the firstborn of the Devil, who was “a murderer from the beginning” (John 8:44). It is the first crime we read of after the Fall of Adam and Eve, wherein the corruption transmitted to their descendants was fearfully displayed by Cain: his rancour and enmity goading him to slay Abel, because his brother’s “works were righteous and his own evil” (1 John 3:12). But this Commandment is not restricted to forbidding the actual crime of murder, it prohibits all the degrees and causes of it: as rash anger and hatred, slanders and revenge, whatever may prejudice the safety of our neighbour or tempt us to see him perish when it is in our power to relieve and rescue him.

Let us begin by pointing out that every killing of a man is not murder. It is not so in the execution of justice, when the magistrate sentences a slayer, for he is vested with lawful authority to put capital offenders to death, and if he fails to do so then God will charge it upon him as his sin. “Whoso sheddeth man’s blood, by man shall his blood be shed” (Gen. 9:6) states the general and unchanging principle. “Thine eye shall not pity, but life shall go for life” (Deut. 19:21), is God’s order to the magistrate. Nor is the shedding of blood in a righteous war chargeable with murder. It is lawful to take up arms against an invader and to recover what has been unjustly taken away: thus David pursued the Amalekites who had carried away his wives captive. So, too, for the punishing of some great injury or wrong: David made war upon the Ammonites for their outraging of his ambassadors (2 Sam. 10).

As there are some who decry this assertion and denounce all war as unlawful in this Christian dispensation, let us point out: when soldiers came to Christ’s forerunner for instruction saying “What shall we do?” (Luke 3:14), he did not say, Fight no more, abandon your calling—but gave them directions how they should conduct themselves. When the Centurion came to the Saviour and drew arguments from his military calling, our Lord did not condemn his profession or rebuke him for holding such an office: instead, He highly commended his faith (Luke 7:8, 9). When examined by Pilate, Christ declared, “My kingdom is not of this world: if My kingdom were of this world, then would My servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is My kingdom not from hence” (John 18:36). Those words clearly imply that though carnal means were improper for advancing Christ’s spiritual kingdom, yet had not His state of humiliation prevented His assuming the royal sceptre, His followers might lawfully have fought to defend His title.

There is one other exception, namely, accidental slaying, which is not chargeable with murder: when life is taken without any intention of so doing. Such a case we find mentioned in Scripture, as when hewing wood the axe should slip and undesignedly kill a neighbour (Deut. 19:5). For such innocent slayers the Lord appointed cities of refuge, where they could find safe asylum from the avenger of blood. But let it be pointed out that we must be employed about lawful things, otherwise if we are engaged in what is unjustified and it leads to the death of another, this cannot be excused from murder (see Exo. 21:22-24).

Next we consider cases of murder. Suicide is *self-murder*, and is one of the most desperate crimes which can be committed. Inasmuch as this sin precludes repentance on the part of its perpetrator, it is beyond forgiveness. Such creatures are so abandoned by God as to have no concern for their eternal salvation, seeing they pass into the immediate presence of their judge with their hands covered in their own blood. Such are self-murderers, for they destroy not only their bodies but their souls, too. The

murdering of *another* is a most heinous crime. It torments the conscience of its perpetrator with fearful affrights, so that often he gives himself up to justice. Those who are *accessory* are guilty of murder: as those who counsel it to be done (2 Sam. 12:9), or consent thereto (as Pilate), or conceal it (as in Deut. 21:6, 7, by clear implication).

This Commandment not only forbids the perpetration of murder, but likewise all causes and occasions leading to it. The principal of these are envy and anger. Envy has been well described as “the rust of a cankered soul, a foul vice which turns the happiness of others into our own misery.” Cain first enviously repined at the success of his brother’s sacrifice, and this quickly prompted him to murder. So, too, unjust and inordinate anger, if it is allowed to lie festering in the heart, will turn into the venom of an implacable hatred. Such anger is not only a cause, but it is actually a degree of murder, as is clear from the teaching of Christ in Matthew 5:21, 22.

It should be pointed out that anger is not, as envy, simply and in itself, unlawful. There is a virtuous anger, which so far from being sin, is a noble and praiseworthy grace: see Mark 3:5. To be moved with indignation for the cause of God when His glory is degraded, His name dishonoured, His sanctuary polluted, His people vilified, is a holy anger. So there is an innocent and allowable anger when we are unjustly provoked by offenses against ourselves, but here we need to be much on our guard that we “sin not” (Eph. 4:26). A vicious and sinful anger, which darkens the understanding and makes one act as in a frenzy, is one which is without cause and without bounds. Jonah 4:1 gives an illustration of a groundless anger. Immoderate anger is when it is violent and excessive, or when it continues to boil: “let not the sun go down on your wrath” (Eph. 4:26)—if it does, the scum of malice will be on your heart next morning!

In closing, let us give some rules for restraining and repressing anger.

1. Labour and pray for a meek and humble spirit. Think lowly of yourself and you will not be angered if others slight you. All contention proceeds from pride (Prov. 13:10). The more you despise yourself the easier it will be to bear the contempt of your fellows.
2. Think often of the infinite patience and forbearance of God. How many affronts does He bear with from us? How often do we give Him occasion to be angry with us, yet, “He hath not dealt with us after our sins”—let this great example be ours.
3. Beware of prejudice against any, for it is sure to misinterpret their actions. Fight against the first risings of envy and anger: when injured, put it down to ignorance or as unintentional.
4. Shun angry persons (Prov. 22:24, 25): fire quickly spreads.

## THE SEVENTH COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not commit adultery” (Exo. 20:14).*

The virtues of purity are the basis of the domestic relations, and as the family is the foundation of human society, the class of duties here involved are second only to those which preserve man’s existence. Hence it is that immediately following the Commandment which declares the sacredness of human life, there is that which is a hedge about the highest relationship of creaturehood—safeguarding the holy function of the *procreation of life*. Nothing is more essential for the social order than that the relationship upon which all others are subsequently based should be jealously protected against every form of attack. The Commandment is a simple, unqualified, irrevocable, negative: “thou shalt not.” No argument is used, no reason is given, because none is required. This sin is so destructive and damning that it is in itself sufficient cause for the stern forbidding.

This Commandment plainly intimates that God claims *the body* as well as the soul for His service. “I beseech you therefore, brethren, by the mercies of God, that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is your reasonable service” (Rom. 12:1). “Let not sin therefore reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof...if ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live” (Rom. 6:12; 8:13). “The body is not for fornication, but for the Lord; and the Lord for the body...Know ye not that your bodies are the members of Christ? shall I then take the members of Christ, and make them the members of an harlot? God forbid...glorify God in your body, and in your spirit” (1 Cor. 6:13, 15, 20). For a Christian this foul sin is sacrilege: “Know ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Spirit which is in you!” (1 Cor. 6:19). If Christ were indignant when He saw the house of God turned into a den of thieves, how much more heinous in His sight must be that wickedness which debases the temple of the Holy Spirit into a filthy sty!

“Thou shalt not commit adultery.” This prohibition is designed to guard the sanctity of the home, for strictly speaking “adultery” is a crime which none but a *married* person can commit—“fornication” being the name of it when done by one who is single. As the One with whom we have to do is ineffably pure and holy, He requires us to depart from all uncleanness. This Commandment respects more especially the government of the affections and passions, the keeping of our minds and bodies in such a chaste frame that nothing impure or immodest may defile us. It requires the proper discipline of those inclinations which God has implanted in order to the increase of the human species. Therefore are we to avoid everything that may be an occasion of this sin, using all proper means and methods to prevent all temptations thereto.



How *God* regards sins of uncleanness has been made clear by many passages in His Word. This sin, even on the part of an unmarried man, is called “great wickedness against God” (Gen. 39:9); then how much more inexcusable and intolerable is it on the part of a married person! The temporal punishment meted out to it under the civil law of Israel was no less than death—the same that was meted out to murder. Job calls it “a heinous crime, a fire that consumeth to destruction” (31:11, 12). Much of this wickedness is practiced in secret, but though its perpetrators may escape the judgment of man, they shall not escape the judgment of Heaven for it is written “whoremongers and adulterers God shall judge” (Heb. 13:4) “Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers shall inherit the kingdom of God” (1 Cor. 6:9, 10).

“The sin of adultery is scarcely less enormous than that of murder. The latter destroys man’s temporal existence, the former destroys all that makes existence a boon. Were all to take the license of the adulterer, men would, in due time, be reduced to the degradation of wild beasts” (R. L. Dabney). To prevent this sin, God has instituted the ordinance of marriage: “to avoid fornication, let every man have his own wife, and let every woman have her own husband” (1 Cor. 7:2). The sin of adultery is therefore the violation of the marriage covenant and vow, and so adds perjury to infidelity. Immorality is a sin against the body: 1 Corinthians 6:18. God’s displeasure against this sin is seen in the fact He has so ordered it that nature itself visits the same with heavy penalties in every part of man’s complex being: “Be not deceived: God is not mocked: for whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap. For he that soweth to his flesh shall of the flesh reap corruption” (Gal. 6:7, 8).

Though marriage is the Divinely appointed remedy for the sin of sexual uncleanness, that does not grant man the license to make a beast of himself. “Let it not be supposed by married persons that all things are lawful to them. Every man should observe sobriety towards his wife, and every wife, reciprocally, towards her husband; conducting themselves in such a manner as to do nothing unbecoming the decorum and temperance of marriage. For thus ought marriage contracted in the Lord to be regulated by moderation and modesty, and not to break out into the vilest lasciviousness. Such sensuality has been stigmatized by Ambrose with a severe but not unmerited censure, when he calls those who in their conjugal intercourse have no regard to modesty, the adulterers of their own wives” (Calvin).

Let no man flatter himself with the idea that he cannot be charged with unchastity because he has abstained from the actual deed while his heart is a cesspool of defiling imaginations and desires. Because God’s Law is “spiritual” (Rom. 7:14) it not only forbids the gross outward acts of filthiness, but it prohibits and condemns unchastity of heart as well—all unlawful imaginations and contemplations. As there is such a thing as heart murder, so

there is *heart adultery*, and he who commits speculative uncleanness and prostitutes his thoughts and imaginations to the impure embraces of lust is guilty of transgressing this Commandment. “Whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart” (Matt. 5:28). Therefore we find the Apostle did not content himself with saving that it is better for a man to marry than pollute himself with a harlot, but “it is better to marry than to *burn*” (1 Cor. 7:9)—harbour consuming passion.

Although the sin of “adultery” is alone specifically mentioned in this precept, the rule, by which the Commandments are to be interpreted obliges us to understand that all other kinds of uncleanness are prohibited under that of this one gross sin. Everything that defiles the body is here forbidden: adultery is expressly mentioned because all other moral pollutions tend thereto. By the wickedness of that which all men know to be wrong, we are exhorted to abominate *every* unlawful passion. As all manner of chastity in our thoughts, speeches and actions is enjoined by the perfect rule of God, so whatever is in the least contrary and prejudicial to spotless chastity and modesty is here prohibited. *Every other sexual union save that of marriage is accursed in God’s sight.*

This Commandment forbids all degrees or approaches to the sin prohibited, as looking in order to lust. Its force is, You shall in no way injure your neighbour’s chastity or tempt to uncleanness. It requires that we abstain from immodest apparel, indelicate speech, intemperance in food and drink which excites the passions, everything which has any tendency to induce unchastity in ourselves or others. Let young people especially fix it in mind that all unclean conduct *before* marriage on the part of man or woman is a wrong done *against* the marriage to be. Though this commandment is expressed in the form of a negative prohibition, yet positively it enjoins all the opposite duties, such as cleanliness of the body, filling the mind with holy objects, setting our affection on things above, spending our time in profitable occupations.

Rules and Helps for avoiding such sins: (1.) Cultivate a habitual sense of the Divine Presence, realizing that, “The eyes of the LORD are in *every* place, beholding the evil and the good” (Prov. 15:3). (2.) Keep a strict watch over the senses: these are the avenues which instead of letting in pleasant streams to refresh, only too often let in mud and mire to pollute the soul. Make a covenant with the eyes (Job 31:1). Stop the ears against all filthy conversation. Read nothing which defiles. Watch your thoughts, and labour to promptly expel evil ones. (3.) Practice sobriety and temperance (1 Cor. 9:27). They who indulge in gluttony and drunkenness generally find their excess froth and foam turn into lust. (4.) Exercise yourself in honest and lawful employment: idleness proves as fatal to many as intemperance to others. Avoid the company of the wicked. (5.) Be much in earnest prayer, begging God to cleanse your heart (Psa. 119:36).

“Ye adulterers and adulteresses, know ye not that the friendship of the world is enmity with God?” (James 4:4). This refers to the sin of *spiritual adultery*: it is love of the world estranging the heart from God, carnal lusts enticing the soul and drawing it away from Him. There is more than enough in God Himself to satisfy, but there is still that in the believer which desires to find his happiness in the creature. There are *degrees* of this sin, as of the natural: as there may be physical adultery in thought and longing which terminates not in the overt act, so the Christian may secretly hanker after the world though he become not an utter worldling. We must check such inclinations when our hearts are unduly drawn forth to material comforts and contentment. God is a jealous God, and nothing provokes Him more than that we should prefer base things before Himself, or give unto others that affection or esteem which belongs alone to Him. Leave not your “first love” (Rev. 2:4), nor forsake Him to whom you are “espoused” (2 Cor. 11:2).

## THE EIGHTH COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not steal” (Exo. 20:15).*

The root from which theft proceeds is *discontent* with the portion God has allotted, and from there a coveting of what He has withheld from us and bestowed upon others. With his usual accuracy Calvin hit the nail on the head when he pointed out, “This law is ordained for our hearts as much as for our hands, in order that men may study both to protect the property and to promote the interests of others.” Like the preceding one, this precept also respects the government of our affections, by the setting of due bounds to our desires after worldly things, that they may not exceed what the good Providence of God has appointed us. Hence the suitability of that prayer, “Remove far from me vanity and lies: give me neither poverty nor riches, feed me with food convenient for me, lest I be full and deny Thee, and say Who is the LORD? or lest I be poor and steal, and take the name of my God in vain” (Prov. 30:8, 9).

“Thou shalt not steal.” The positive duty here enjoined is: you shall by all proper means preserve and further both your own and your neighbour’s estate. This Commandment requires proper diligence and industry so as to secure a competence for ourselves and families, that we may not through our own default expose ourselves and them to those straits which are the consequence of sloth and neglect. Thus we are to “Provide things honest in the sight of all men” (Rom. 12:17). But more: this Commandment is the law of love with respect to our neighbour’s estate. It requires honesty and

uprightness in our dealings one with another, being founded upon that first practical principle of all human converse: "Whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them" (Matt. 7:12). Thus this Commandment places a sacred enclosure around property which none can lawfully enter without the proprietor's consent.

The solemn and striking fact deserves pointing out that the first sin committed by the human species consisted of *theft*: when Eve took (stole) the forbidden fruit. So, too, the first recorded sin against Israel after they entered the land of Canaan was that of theft: when Achan stole from among the spoils (Josh. 7:21). In like manner, the first sin which defiled the primitive Christian church was theft: when Ananias and Sapphira "kept back part of the price" (Acts 5:2). How often this is the first sin committed outwardly by children! and therefore this Divine precept should be taught them from earliest infancy.

Years ago we visited a home and our hostess related how she had that day secretly observed her daughter (about four years old) enter a room in which was a large bunch of grapes. The little tot eyed them longingly, went up to the table and then said, "Get thee hence Satan. It is written, Thou shalt not steal," and rushed out of the room.

"Thou shalt not steal." The highest form of this sin is where it is committed against *God*, which is sacrilege. Of old He charged Israel with this crime: "Will a man rob God? yet ye have robbed Me. But ye say, Wherein have we robbed Thee? In tithes and offerings. Ye are cursed with a curse: for ye have robbed Me, even this whole nation" (Mal. 3:8, 9). But there are other ways in which this wickedness may be committed besides that of refusing to financially support the maintenance of God's cause on earth. God is robbed when we withhold from Him the glory which is His due, and we are spiritual thieves when we arrogate to ourselves the honour and praise which belong alone unto Him. Arminians are great offenders here, by ascribing to free will what is produced by free grace. "Ye have not chosen Me," said Christ, "but I have chosen you" (John 15:16). "Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that He loved us" (1 John 4:10).

Another way in which we rob God is by an unfaithful discharge of our stewardship. That which God has entrusted to us may be just as really outraged by our mismanagement as if we interfered with another's trust or plundered our neighbour's goods. This Commandment then requires from us that we administer our worldly estate, be it large or small, with such industry as to provide for ourselves and those dependent upon us.

Idleness is a species of theft: it is playing the part of the drone and compelling the rest of the hive to support us. So prodigality is also a form of theft: extravagance and wastefulness being a spending of that substance which God has given unto us, in "riotous living." He who remains in secular employment which requires him to work on the Lord's Day is robbing God of the time which ought to be devoted to His worship. Ere passing on it

should be pointed out that one who obtrudes himself into the Gospel ministry without being *called of God*, so as to obtain an easy and comfortable living, is “a thief and a robber” (John 10:1).

God has appointed that men should earn their bread by the sweat of their brow, and with that portion which we thus honestly obtain, we must be satisfied. But some are slothful and refuse to labour, while others are covetous and crave a larger portion, and hence many are led to resort to the use of force or fraud in order to gain possession of that to which they have no right. Theft, in general, is an unjust taking or keeping for ourselves what is lawfully another's. He is a thief who withholds what ought to be in his neighbour's possession as much as he who takes from him his property. Hence this Commandment is grossly violated both by capital and labour. If in the past the poor have been wronged by inadequate wages—the scales have now turned in the opposite direction, by employees often demanding a wage which industry cannot afford to pay them. If on the one hand it is right that a fair day's work should receive a fair day's pay, it holds equally good that a fair day's pay is entitled to a fair day's work—but where loafing prevails it does not receive it.

“Thou shalt not steal.” Lying advertisements are a breach of this Commandment. Tradesmen are guilty when they adulterate or misrepresent their goods, and also when they deliberately give short weight or short change to their customers. Profiteering is another form of theft: “that no man go *beyond* and defraud his brother” (1 Thess. 4:6). The contracting of debts to support luxury and vanity is theft, as also is the failure to pay debts incurred in procuring necessities. A man is a thief in the sight of God who transfers property to his wife just before he becomes bankrupt, and so also is any bankrupt who later prospers financially and then fails to pay his creditors to the full. That man or woman is a thief who borrows and returns not. This Commandment is broken by tenants who heedlessly damage the property and furniture of the owner. Evasion in paying taxes is another form of theft: Christ has set us a better example (Matt. 17:24). Gambling is still another form of theft, for by it men obtain money for which they have done no honest work.

There is an old saying—“Whatever is gotten over the Devil's back goes under the Devil's belly.” Certain it is that God sends a curse upon what is obtained by force or fraud: it is put into a bag with holes and under Providence soon wastes away. God, by His righteous judgment, often makes one sin the punisher of another and what is gained by theft is lost by intemperance and a shortened life. Therefore it is written, “the robbery of the wicked shall destroy them” (Prov. 21:7). And again—“As the partridge sitteth on eggs and hatcheth them not; so he that getteth riches, and not by right, shall leave them in the midst of his days, and at his end shall be a fool” (Jer. 17:11). Many times God raises up those who deal with them as they have dealt with others. The fearful increase of this crime in modern society is

due to failure to impose adequate punishment. If the reader be conscious of having wronged others in the past, it is not sufficient to confess this sin unto God: at least a twofold restitution must be made (Luke 19:8 and cf. 2 Sam. 12:6)—if the owner be dead, then to his descendants, if he has none, then to some public charity.

Here are a few suggested helps and aids to the avoidance of the sins prohibited and to the performance of those duties inculcated by this Eighth Commandment. 1. Engage in honest labour, or if a person of means, in some honourable calling, seeking to promote the public good: it is idle people who are most tempted to mischief. 2. Strive against the spirit of selfishness by seeking the welfare of others. 3. Counter the lust of covetousness by giving liberally to those in need. 4. If your Saviour was crucified between two thieves that the gift of salvation might be yours, bring no reproach upon His name by any act of dishonesty. 5. Cultivate the grace of contentment. In order thereto, consider frequently the vanity of all things temporal, practice submission to Divine providence, meditate much on the Divine promises (such as Heb. 13:5, 6), be temperate in all things, set your affection on things above, remind yourself daily of the earthly lot of Christ.

## THE NINTH COMMANDMENT

*“Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour” (Exo. 20:16).*

Take these words simply at their face value and they prohibit only the horrible crime of perjury or the giving of false testimony in a court of law, but as with the previous Commandments, so here—much more is implied and inculcated than is specifically stated. As we have so often pointed out, each of the Ten Commandments enunciates a general principle, and not only are all other sins forbidden which are allied to the one named and prohibited, together with all causes and tendencies thereto, but the opposite virtue is definitely required, with all that fosters and promotes it. Thus in its wider meaning this Ninth Commandment reprehends any word of ours which would injure the reputation of our neighbour, be it uttered in public or in private. This should scarcely need any arguing, for if we restrict this Commandment to its literal terms it would have no bearing on any save that small minority who are called upon to bear witness in a court of justice.

In its widest application this Commandment has to do with the regulation of our *speech*, which is one of the distinguishing and ennobling faculties that God has bestowed upon man. Scripture tells us that “death and life are in the power of the tongue” (Prov. 18:21), that “a wholesome tongue is

a tree of life” (Prov. 15:4), and that an unbridled one is “an unruly evil and full of deadly poison” (James 3:8). That our words are not to be uttered lightly or thoughtlessly is made clear by that unspeakably solemn utterance of our Lord’s, “But I say unto you that every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned” (Matt. 12:36, 37). O how we need to pray, “Set a watch, O LORD, before my mouth; keep the door of my lips” (Psa. 141:3). The duties concerning our tongues may be summed up in two words: our speech must always be true and spoken in love (Eph. 4:15). Thus, as the Eighth Commandment provides for the security of our neighbour’s property, so this one is designed to preserve his good name by our speaking the truth about him in love.

Negatively this Ninth Commandment forbids all false and injurious speeches respecting our neighbour: positively it inculcates the conservation of *truth*. “The end of this Precept is that because God, who is Truth itself, execrates a lie, we ought to preserve the truth without the least disguise” (Calvin). Veracity is the strict observance of truth in all our communications. The importance and necessity of this appears from the fact that almost all that mankind knows is derived from communications. The value of those statements which we accept from others depends entirely on their verity and accuracy: if they are false, they are worthless, misleading, evil. Veracity is not only a virtue, but it is the root of all other virtues and the foundation of all right character; and therefore in Scripture, “truth,” is often synonymous with “righteousness.” The godly man is “he that speaketh truth in his heart” (Psa. 15:2). The man that “doeth truth” (John 3:21) has discharged his duty. It is by the Truth the Holy Spirit sanctifies the soul (John 17:17).

The positive form of this Ninth Commandment is found in “speak ye every man the truth to his neighbour” (Zech. 8:16): thus the first sin prohibited therein is that of *lying*. Now a lie, properly speaking, consists of three elements or ingredients: speaking what is not true, deliberately doing so, and doing so with an intent to deceive. Every falsehood is not a lie: we may be misinformed or deceived and sincerely think we are stating facts, and consequently have no design of imposing on others. On the other hand, we may speak that which is true and yet lie in so doing: as when we report what is true yet believe it to be false and utter it with an intention to deceive; or when we report the figurative words of another and pretend he meant them literally, as was the case with those who bore false witness against Christ (Matt. 26:60). The worst form of lying (between men) is when we maliciously invent a falsehood for the purpose of damaging the reputation of our neighbour, which is what is more especially in view in the terms of the Ninth Commandment.

How vile and abominable this sin is appears from the following considerations. It is a sin which makes a person most like Satan. Satan is a spirit,

and therefore gross carnal sins correspond not unto his nature. His sins are more refined and intellectual, such as pride and malice, deception and falsehood. “He is a liar, and the father of it” (John 8:44), and the more malice enters into the composition of any lie, the more nearly it resembles him. It is therefore a sin most contrary to the nature and character of God, for He is “the LORD God of truth” (Psa. 31:5), and therefore we are told, “lying lips are an abomination unto the LORD” (Prov. 12:22). As Satan is a liar and the father of lies, and as God is the Lord God of Truth, so His children resemble Him therein: “seeing they are My people, children that will not lie” (Isa. 63:8). God has threatened a most fearful punishment upon them: “all liars shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone” (Rev. 21:8).

Alas, to what fearful heights has this sin risen! It has become so common that few indeed have any conscience thereon, until we have to lament, “truth is fallen in the street” (Isa. 59:14). First, truth departed from the pulpits. A whole century has passed since the lie of evolution captivated the scientific world and then was taken up by thousands of unregenerate preachers—a lie which strikes at the very foundations of Truth, for it repudiates man’s Fall, and sets aside his need both of redemption and regeneration. For the same length of time the so-called “higher criticism” of German neologians has been peddled throughout the Englishspeaking world by thousands of godless ministers who wished to be looked up to as men of superior intellectuality. Once Truth departed from the pulpits it was not long before it departed from the halls of legislation and the marts of commerce—until we now live in a world where confidence between nations is nonexistent and where the word of our fellows is no longer to be relied upon.

How deeply important it is, then, that a sacred regard for the truth should be constantly pressed upon the young and that they should be taught that lying is the inlet of all vice and corruption. Equally important is it that those who have charge of the young, particularly their parents, should set before the little ones a personal example of what they teach, and not neutralize the same by making promises to them which they fail to fulfill or utter threats which they never carry out. It is the part of wisdom and prudence that each of us should be very slow in making an unconditional promise, but once it is made it must be kept at all costs, unless the keeping of it compels us to sin against God. The prohibition of bearing false witness against my neighbour equally forbids me to bear false witness about myself, which is done when I pose as being holier than I am or when I pretend to be more humble or more anything else than is actually the case.

It remains for us to point out that we may violate this Ninth Commandment even when we speak the truth, if we speak it unnecessarily and from improper motives. “We injure the character of our neighbour when we retail his real faults without any call to divulge them, when we relate



them to those who have no right to know them, and when we tell them not to promote any good end but to make him lose his estimation in society...Nay, we transgress this precept when we do not speak at all, for by holding our peace when something injurious is said of another we tacitly give our assent by concealing what we know to the contrary" (John Dick). Flattering a person is another form of violating this precept: to compliment another merely for the sake of pleasing him or gratifying his vanity is to *perjure your soul* and imperil his safety. So also to give a false testimony of character or to recommend a friend to another when we know him to be unworthy of the testimonial is to bear "false witness."

The following directions, through the grace of God, may be helpful in preserving from these common sins. 1. Be not swayed by party spirit if you would be kept from slandering others. The spirit of sectarianism begets prejudice and prejudice makes us unwilling to receive and acknowledge good in those who walk not with us and ready to believe the worst of them. How often writers are guilty here: denominational bigotry has caused many a man to misinterpret those who differ from him and to impute to him errors which he does not hold. 2. Be not busy in other men's affairs: attend to your own business and leave others for God to attend to. 3. Reflect much upon your own sinfulness and weakness: instead of being so ready to behold the mote in your brother's eye, consider the beam in your own. 4. Shun the company of talebearers and tattlers: idle gossip is injurious to the soul. 5. If others slander you, see to it that you have a conscience void of offense toward God and man, and then it matters not what others think or say about you.

## THE TENTH COMMANDMENT

*"Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's house, thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's wife, nor his manservant; nor his maidservant, nor his ox, nor his ass, nor anything that is thy neighbour's" (Exo. 20:17).*

That which is here prohibited is concupiscence or an unlawful lusting after what is another man's. In our exposition of the previous Commandments we have pointed out that while their actual terms are confined to the forbidding of outward acts, yet the scope of each one takes in and reaches unto the condemnation of everything which has any tendency or occasion to lead unto the overt crime. Here in the final precept of the Decalogue we find clear confirmation of the same, for in it God expressly imposes a law upon our spirits, forbidding us to so much as lust after whatever He has forbidden us to perpetrate. The best way to keep men from committing sin

in act is to keep them from desiring it in heart. Thus while the authority of each of the first nine Commandments reaches to the mind and the most secret intents of the soul, yet the Lord saw fit to plainly and literally state this in the Tenth, where He specifically reprehends the first motions of our hearts toward any object He has fenced, and therefore it is the bond which strengthens the whole.

Evil concupiscence consists of those secret and internal sins which go before the consent of the will and which are the seeds of all evil. Concupiscence or lusting is the firstborn of indwelling depravity, the first risings and expressions of our corrupt nature. It is a violent propensity and inclination unto what is evil, unto that which is contrary to the holy will and command of God. The soul of man is an operative and vigorous creature, ever putting forth activities suitable to its nature. Before the Fall, the soul of man was drawn forth unto God as its supreme Object and the End of all its exercise, but when man apostatized and turned from God as his only Good or satisfying Portion, his soul became enamoured with the *creature*. Thus the soul of fallen man being destitute of Divine grace and spiritual life, craves sinful objects to the slighting of God, and inordinately lusts after things which in themselves are harmless, but become evil because he neither receives them as *from God* nor uses them for *His glory*. Concupiscence, then, is that irregular disposition of soul which is here termed “covetousness.”

The Puritan Ezekiel Hopkins (to whom we are indebted for much in this chapter, as also for many helpful points in the preceding ones) has pointed out that there are four degrees of this sinful concupiscence or coveting. There is the first film and shadow of an evil thought, the imperfect embryo of a sin before it is shaped in us or has any lineaments or features. These are what the Scriptures term “every imagination of the thoughts” of our hearts, and they are expressly declared to be “evil” (Gen. 6:5). Such are the first risings of our corrupt nature toward those sins which are pleasing unto our sensual inclinations. They are to be steadfastly watched, hated and resisted—stamped upon as the sparks of a dangerous fire—for as soon as they begin to stir within us they pollute the soul. Just as the breathing upon a mirror sullies it, leaving a dimness there, so the very first breathings of an evil desire or thought within our breasts defiles the soul.

A farther degree of this concupiscence is when these evil motions of our corrupt nature are *entertained* in the mind with some degree of complacency. When a sinful object presents itself before a carnal heart there is an inward response that affects it with delight and begets a sympathy between them. As in natural sympathy a man is often pleased with an object before he knows the reason why he is so, so in this sinful sympathy or response the heart is taken with the object before it has time to consider what there is in it which so moves and affects it. At the very first sight of a person we many times find that we are more drawn to him than to a whole crowd of others, though all may be equally unknown to us. So the very first

glimpse of a sinful thought in our minds reveals that there is that in us which works a regard for the same before we have leisure to examine why it is so. This second form or degree of concupiscence is harder to eject than the former.

If such evil motions are entertained by us then follows *assent* and approbation of the sin in the practical judgment which being blinded and carried away by the strength of corrupt and carnal affections commends the sin to the executive faculty. The understanding is the trier of every deliberate action so that nothing passes into action which has not first passed trial there. Whether this or that action is to be done is the great question canvassed in that court and all the faculties of the soul await what definite sentence will be here pronounced and so passed accordingly. Normally two things appear and put in their plea to the understanding or judgment about sin: God's law and God's vicegerent, the conscience—the law condemns and the conscience cites the law. But then the affections step in and bribe the judge with promises of pleasure or profit, thereby corrupting the judgment to give its vote and assent unto sin. Note how all of this receives illustration in the colloquy between Eve and the Serpent before she partook of the forbidden fruit.

When any sinful motion has thus secured an allowance from the judgment, then it betakes itself to the will for a decree. The understanding having approved it, the will must now resolve to commit it, and then the sin is fully formed within and lacks nothing but opportunity to bring it forth into open act. "But every man is tempted when he is drawn away of his own lust, and enticed; then when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth (open) sin; and sin, when it is finished, bringeth forth death" (James 1:14, 15). Thus we have endeavoured to show what concupiscence or coveting is, and the several degrees of it—the first bubblings up of evil thoughts in our hearts, our delighting in the same (and it is altogether against corrupt nature not to love these firstborn of our own souls), the assent and allowance of our judgment, and the resolution of our wills. Each of these is expressly forbidden by the Tenth Commandment, and if the sin proceeds any further, then it exceeds the bounds of this Commandment and falls under the prohibition of some of the former ones, which more specifically forbid the outward acts of sin.


This final word, then, utters its solemn protest against sin in the inner life. From this Commandment we may behold and adore the boundless dominion or sovereignty of the great God. He proclaims His rights over the hidden realm of desires. His authority reaches to the soul and conscience and lays an obligation upon our very thoughts and imaginations, which no human laws can do. It would be vain for men to impose statutes upon that of which they can take no cognizance, and therefore our desires and lustings are free from their censure, except so far as they discover themselves by overt acts. But though they escape the commands and notice of men, yet

they escape not the scrutiny and sentence of God, for He sees not as men see, neither judges He as men judge. The secrets of all hearts are open and naked before His eyes—not the least breath of a desire can stir in our souls but it is more distinctly visible to Him than the shining of the midday sun is to us.

God's Law, like His knowledge, reaches unto the most secret recesses of the soul, searches every corner of the heart, judges those lusts which no human eye can espy, and if they be harboured and approved of, condemns us as a transgressor guilty of eternal death, no matter how fair our external deportment may be. Then how vain it is for us to content ourselves with an outward conformity to God's Law! How we should labour to approve our hearts in sincerity and purity before God—otherwise we are but Pharisaical hypocrites who wash merely the outside of the cup while within we are still full of unclean lusts. How many there are who suppose God's Law reaches only to the outward man and that though they entertain and cherish wicked desires and evil purposes in their hearts, so long as these break not forth into external crimes they will not be charged to their account. But the Day of judgment will show it is far otherwise. How very few reflect upon *heart* sins! How very few pray, "Cleanse me from secret faults O God"! Be not deceived, God is not mocked, and cannot be imposed upon by external shows.

See here the wisdom of God in setting this Commandment at the close of the Decalogue, as a fence and guard to all the rest. It is from inward defilements of the soul that all the visible sins of our acts and lives have their rise. All Sabbath-breaking proceeds from the restlessness which is born of unholy desire. "Out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries" etc. (Matt. 15:19). Observe well that Christ places "evil thoughts" in the front, as the leader of this vile regiment! "Thou shalt not covet"—you shall not set your heart upon or have the least hankering after what belongs to another. An objector may say: it is impossible to prevent the desire for what we admire. Very true, yet in that fact is revealed the fallen condition of man, the desperate wickedness of his heart, and that such desire is sinful *and damning* is only discovered in the light of this Commandment. He who honestly faces this final word of the Decalogue must be convicted of his sinfulness and brought to realize his helplessness which is its ultimate design: the Law is given to demonstrate that our case is *hopeless* in ourselves—to shut us up to Christ!





The Ten Commandments of God have long held a unique and honored place in the hearts of God's people. The moral degradation of our day has resulted not in a moral wasteland, but in the manifestations of moral depravity and social chaos. **The Ten Commandments** is an exposition of these timeless ordinances of God. Today it speaks powerfully with the authority of Scripture to call us to holy living with a sure moral compass.

Just as with most of his writings, these articles first appeared in the *Studies in the Scriptures*, A.W. Pink's monthly expositional magazine published from the 1922 until 1953 without interruption (currently republished by Chapel Library). These in fact were the "cover-page pieces" for 1941, as he called the first article in each issue.

**Arthur W. Pink** (1886-1952) was born in Nottingham England, and born again of the Spirit of God at the age of 22. He briefly studied at Moody Bible Institute in Chicago, USA, before his pastoral work among independent churches in Colorado, California, Kentucky, and South Carolina. He taught in Sydney, Australia, before returning to his native England in 1934. He took permanent residence in Lewis, Scotland, in 1940, remaining there 12 years until his death. Familiar with the whole range of revelation, Mr. Pink was rarely sidetracked from the great themes of Scripture: justification, sanctification, and God's grace.